

# Daiwa's Economic View

## Consumption tax cut: Considering the timeline from implementation to termination

- *Yomiuri Shimbun's* reporting on the schedule leading up to the extraordinary Diet session
- Timeline to the realization of a consumption tax cut
- Timeline to the termination of the consumption tax cut
- One model case covering the period from the start to the end of the consumption tax cut

FICC Research Dept.

**Koji Hamada**

81-3-5555-8791

kouji.hamada@daiwa.co.jp

**Kenji Yamamoto**

81-3-5555-8784

kenji.yamamoto@daiwa.co.jp



Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

According to a *Yomiuri Shimbun* report, it appears that Prime Minister Sanae Takaichi has instructed the Ministry of Finance (MOF) to accelerate considerations for implementing a consumption tax cut. The plan seems to be to pass related legislation during the autumn extraordinary Diet session, with an eye on implementation within the following year.

Regarding the subsequent timeline, *Yomiuri Shimbun* cited past examples where it took approximately one and a half years from the promulgation of the law to its implementation. Additionally, in the case of refundable tax credits, a considerable amount of time is required from system design to the actual deduction and payment of benefits.

As one model case, we have considered the start of a consumption tax cut from January 2028. This would allow for a preparation period of just over one year following legal revisions around Oct-Nov 2026.

Two years later, at the end of December 2029, the consumption tax cut would end. To transition seamlessly to a refundable tax credit program thereafter, it would be necessary to capture income data for 2029 under the new system. The preparation period of approximately two years, starting after a basic policy is decided by autumn 2026, is close to the timeframe mentioned in Finance Minister Satsuki Katayama's remarks.

### ***Yomiuri Shimbun* reports on timeline leading up to the extraordinary diet session**

On 14 February, *Yomiuri Shimbun* reported on the timeline for the introduction of a consumption tax cut. It seems that on 12 February, PM Takaichi "instructed Finance Minister Katayama and MOF senior officials to accelerate considerations toward implementing a consumption tax cut." The plan seems to be to "establish a path toward securing funding sources by June at the national council" and "consider a timeline to pass related legislation in the autumn extraordinary Diet session for implementation within the next year."

*Yomiuri Shimbun* also reported that "the government plans to discuss the refundable tax credits and the consumption tax cut simultaneously at the national council." To implement the consumption tax cut and ensure that it terminates definitively after two years, a system design that integrates the termination of the tax cut with the introduction of the refundable tax credit program is necessary. It is likely that this was the intention when PM Takaichi, at a press conference on 9 February, positioned the consumption tax cut as a "bridge" to the refundable tax credits. (Please refer to our report dated 16 Feb 2026 [Daiwa's Economic View: Consumption tax cut likely to proceed; Is discontinuation after two years possible?](#))

### **Considering the timeline for implementing the consumption tax cut**

Regarding the implementation timing of the consumption tax cut, a certain amount of time is required after the law is revised and promulgated for businesses to prepare. The *Yomiuri Shimbun* article on 14 February touches upon the fact that "in the past, taking into account the burden on businesses such as system modifications, a preparation period of at least one and a half years was set between the promulgation of the related law and its implementation."

## Considering the timeline for terminating the consumption tax cut

A refundable tax credit is a system that deducts individual income tax according to income, with any amount that cannot be fully offset provided as a benefit. (Please refer to our report dated 16 Feb 2026 [\*Daiwa's Economic View: Consumption tax cut likely to proceed; Is discontinuation after two years possible?\*](#)) In fact, the later the start date of the consumption tax cut, the more convenient it is for a seamless transition to a refundable tax credit. This is because a significant amount of time is required from the design and introduction of the refundable tax credit system to the start of the actual deductions and benefit payments.

The system design needs to determine, for example, the following:

- Scope of income capture
  - To what extent will salaries, self-employment, pensions, miscellaneous income, and non-taxable groups be treated under the same definition?
  - Will existing income definitions be used as is, or will new rules be introduced to address regressivity?
- Frequency of benefit payments
  - Monthly or quarterly payments would impose a heavy administrative burden and take a long time for system development.
  - A single, annual post-payment tied to year-end tax adjustments or final tax returns would have a lighter administrative burden and require less time for system development. The initial deduction or payments would occur after the year in which the income was captured.
- Heaviness of the administrative burden at initial implementation
  - In the first year of introduction, there is the burden of starting all new practical operations simultaneously. It is desirable to have a reasonable lead time to translate the completed system design into practical operations.
- Public notification
  - It is difficult for individuals to know if they are eligible for refundable tax credits. It is necessary for taxpayers to understand the eligibility conditions and benefit timing prior to system commencement.

## Considering a model case for the timeline from start to finish

Based on the above, we have considered the start of a consumption tax cut from January 2028 as one model case. Although the start of the tax cut is quite late, the time for system design and preparation cannot be considered sufficient, making it a very tight schedule. While the government would likely stake its credibility on ensuring that the tax cut is terminated exactly after two years, progress in the design and preparation phases warrants close monitoring.

First, the consumption tax cut would begin in January 2028. This would mean a preparation period of just over one year following legal revisions around Oct-Nov 2026, which is slightly shorter than the period mentioned in the *Yomiuri Shimbun* report.

Two years later, at the end of December 2029, the consumption tax cut would end. To start the refundable tax credit program with minimal disruption, it would be necessary to capture income for 2029 under the new system. Based on the actual income for 2029, year-end adjustments could be made at the end of 2029, and tax credits or benefit payments could be made after the final tax return filing period in Feb-Mar 2030.

By autumn 2026, the basic policy for the system would be determined alongside the consumption tax. Consideration would continue thereafter, with system design completed during 2028, relevant legislation passed, and the public informed. Income would then be captured under the new system starting in January 2029. This would make the preparation period slightly shorter than, but close to, the “maximum of two years” for system design mentioned by Finance Minister Katayama at a press conference on 13 February.

It should be noted that accurate identification of taxpayer income is indispensable for implementing the refundable tax credit program. However, at the press conference on the 13th,

Finance Minister Katayama stated that no country achieves perfectly comprehensive income capture, and that practical compromises within a certain range are unavoidable. Accordingly, it appears unlikely that concerns over income identification alone would derail the introduction of the system.

## **IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES**

This report is provided as a reference for making investment decisions and is not intended to be a solicitation for investment. Investment decisions should be made at your own discretion and risk. Content herein is based on information available at the time the report was prepared and may be amended or otherwise changed in the future without notice. We make no representations as to the accuracy or completeness. Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. retains all rights related to the content of this report, which may not be redistributed or otherwise transmitted without prior consent.

### **Ratings**

Issues are rated 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 as follows:

- 1: Outperform TOPIX/benchmark index by more than 15% over the next 12 months.
- 2: Outperform TOPIX/benchmark index by 5-15% over the next 12 months.
- 3: Out/underperform TOPIX/benchmark index by less than 5% over the next 12 months.
- 4: Underperform TOPIX/benchmark index by 5-15% over the next 12 months.
- 5: Underperform TOPIX/benchmark index by more than 15% over the next 12 months.

Benchmark index: TOPIX for Japan, S&P 500 for US, STOXX Europe 600 for Europe, HSI for Hong Kong, STI for Singapore, KOSPI for Korea, TWII for Taiwan, and S&P/ASX 200 for Australia.

### **Target Prices**

Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. sets target prices based on its analysts' earnings estimates for subject companies. Risks to target prices include, but are not limited to, unexpected significant changes in subject companies' earnings trends and the macroeconomic environment.

### **Disclosures related to Daiwa Securities**

Please refer to [https://drp.daiwa.co.jp/rp-daiwa/direct/reportDisclaimer/e\\_disclaimer.pdf](https://drp.daiwa.co.jp/rp-daiwa/direct/reportDisclaimer/e_disclaimer.pdf) for information on conflicts of interest for Daiwa Securities, securities held by Daiwa Securities, companies for which Daiwa Securities or foreign affiliates of Daiwa Securities Group have acted as a lead underwriter, and other disclosures concerning individual companies. If you need more information on this matter, please contact the Research Production Department of Daiwa Securities.

### **Explanatory Document of Unregistered Credit Ratings**

This report may use credit ratings assigned by rating agencies that are not registered with Japan's Financial Services Agency pursuant to Article 66, Paragraph 27 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act. Please review the relevant disclaimer regarding credit ratings issued by such agencies at: [https://drp.daiwa.co.jp/rp-daiwa/direct/reportDisclaimer/credit\\_ratings.pdf](https://drp.daiwa.co.jp/rp-daiwa/direct/reportDisclaimer/credit_ratings.pdf). If you need more information on this matter, please contact the Research Production Department of Daiwa Securities.

### **Notification items pursuant to Article 37 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law**

(This Notification is only applicable to where report is distributed by Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.)

If you decide to enter into a business arrangement with our company based on the information described in this report, we ask you to pay close attention to the following items.

- In addition to the purchase price of a financial instrument, our company will collect a trading commission\* for each transaction as agreed beforehand with you. Since commissions may be included in the purchase price or may not be charged for certain transactions, we recommend that you confirm the commission for each transaction. In some cases, our company also may charge a maximum of ¥2 million per year as a standing proxy fee for our deposit of your securities, if you are a non-resident.
- For derivative and margin transactions etc., our company may require collateral or margin requirements in accordance with an agreement made beforehand with you. Ordinarily in such cases, the amount of the transaction will be in excess of the required collateral or margin requirements\*\*.
- There is a risk that you will incur losses on your transactions due to changes in the market price of financial instruments based on fluctuations in interest rates, exchange rates, stock prices, real estate prices, commodity prices, and others. In addition, depending on the content of the transaction, the loss could exceed the amount of the collateral or margin requirements.
- There may be a difference between bid price etc. and ask price etc. of OTC derivatives handled by our company.
- Before engaging in any trading, please thoroughly confirm accounting and tax treatments regarding your trading in financial instruments with such experts as certified public accountants.

\* The amount of the trading commission cannot be stated here in advance because it will be determined between our company and you based on current market conditions and the content of each transaction etc.

\*\* The ratio of margin requirements etc. to the amount of the transaction cannot be stated here in advance because it will be determined between our company and you based on current market conditions and the content of each transaction etc.

When making an actual transaction, please be sure to carefully read the materials presented to you prior to the execution of agreement, and to take responsibility for your own decisions regarding the signing of the agreement with our company.

Corporate Name: Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

Registered: Financial Instruments Business Operator, Chief of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Kin-sho) No.108

Memberships: Japan Securities Dealers Association, The Financial Futures Association of Japan, Japan Investment Advisers Association, Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association, Japan Security Token Offering Association