

Daiwa's View

"Communication" to stabilize term premium

- MOF is expected to consider optimizing JGB issuance maturities
- Positive in terms of (1) relationship with BOJ's policy of reducing JGB purchases and (2) fundamental measures for coping with structural changes among investors
- Combination of QT and fiscal expansion was potential threat to JGB term premium, but concerns have diminished

FICC Research Dept

Eiichiro Tani 81-3-5555-8780 eiichiro.tani@daiwa.co.jp

Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd



While the Advisory Council on Government Debt Management itself is scheduled to be held on 21 June, there was a report in the news yesterday that Japan was said to be considering issuing bonds with shorter maturities, explaining that the MOF was considering a plan to shift more of its bond issuances to shorter maturities based on a proposal from experts as the BOJ moves to reduce the amount it purchases. The movement of JGB yields has changed substantially from bear steepening to bull flattening due to this news ahead of the council. The news is welcome, and is seen as serving as a factor in lowering JGB volatility (stabilization factor).

This "communication" is welcome

The combination of QT and fiscal expansion is a potential factor in destabilizing the term premium. In our report on 7 June, the author warned that "if we see a delay in optimization of JGB issuance, for which the progress is expected in line with the pace of QT, while the BOJ's balance sheet reduction (which virtually has an effect of QT) proceeds at a fast pace, concerns about the term premium of JGB yields would persist."

This latest news has diminished the concerns described above, and is positive in terms of (1) establishing a dialogue with the BOJ and (2) the issuer side's response to structural changes on the investor side. When demand is weakening due to QT, establishing a dialogue with the government/fiscal side is an important issue. While the BOJ decides on a policy of reducing its JGB purchases (\doteq de-facto QT), if the issuer side were to respond to that, and also start to respond to the structural change on the investor side (weaker demand for superlong bonds), that would lessen the risk of a malignant surge in the term premium (\doteq quasi-Truss shock) due to an abrupt reduction and/or concerns about an increase in bond issuance.

"Bond Market Group" meetings with BOJ are likely to be productive

The media report was good news for encouraging productive discissions at the BOJ's "Bond Market Group" meetings scheduled to be held by the July Monetary Policy Meeting (MPM) with respect to the reduction of JGB purchases by the BOJ, for which only the reduction amounts Y4tn, Y3tn, and Y2tn have been speculated. It is virtually nonsense to only consider the best (JGB purchase) reduction amount for the market on the demand side without considering the response by the supply side. There were concerns that regardless of how detailed considerations were, a plan to increase bond issuance might cancel out such considerations. (The BOJ would have been forced to conclude that the reduction plan should include great flexibility.) The timing of yesterday's news can be said to have been good in that sense, as well, because the BOJ was able to learn the intentions of the issuer side early on.



Outstanding amount (stock-basis)

9 years and 0

9 years and 2 month

9 years and

Responses to weaker demand for superlong bonds

During the ten years of extraordinary easing, demographics have changed (low birthrate and longevity), and financial regulations have become more sophisticated. Normally, it would not have been unusual for the JGB issuance amount to have been changed much earlier. However, the bond market was overwhelmed by purchase operations by the BOJ, leading to a delay in the response that needed to be taken under normal conditions.

In particular, the decline in the need for insurance coverage for death, in line with an aging society with fewer children, has been reducing the need for asset duration at life insurers. In addition, while the response to the new regulations by FY25 (the introduction of economic value-based solvency margin ratio) appears to have almost ended, a decline in demand for investment in superlong bonds has been observed, pushing up superlong forward yields close to the 3% mark.

Of course, in the early 1990's, when Japan's potential growth rate was around 4%, a JGB yield of 3% was no problem at all. However, 3% now seems too high, as the potential growth rate has declined to around 0.5%. Rather than reflecting the estimated neutral rate, this has created concerns about the possibility of the emergence of a situation that has been indicated by Waseda University Professor Junko Koeda in which yields in the superlong zone would rise and the yield curve would face steepening pressure once supplies of superlong JGBs needed to be absorbed by arbitrage traders due to limited demand from investors holding JGBs to maturity.

In this regard, yesterday's news stated that life insurers were unlikely to increase their JGB holdings substantially over the medium/long term. As such, the authorities have accepted the structural changes in a straightforward way, and will likely take fundamental measures (adjustments to the issuance amount) instead of perfunctory responses via *Rinban* operations by the BOJ. This is a favorable factor allowing the market to feel reassured regarding the supply/demand of superlong JGBs in the future. Of course, sound fiscal operations are the key to continuous restraint (adjustments) with regard to the issuance amount. Needless to say, we therefore need to monitor the situation to see if (1) the very large fiscal expenditures that became lax during the pandemic continue in Japan, and (2) the populism emerging in Europe and the US has a negative impact on fiscal operations in Japan.

Average Maturity of JGBs

2014

201

2016

201

2018

2019

202

2021

202

2023

Market issuance (flow-basis)



JGB Superlong Forward Yields

2014

201

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

Source: Bloomberg; compiled by Daiwa.

⁽mine et al 17) Source: MOF's "Debt Management Report 2023"; compiled by Daiwa.



IMPORTANT

This report is provided as a reference for making investment decisions and is not intended to be a solicitation for investment. Investment decisions should be made at your own discretion and risk. Content herein is based on information available at the time the report was prepared and may be amended or otherwise changed in the future without notice. We make no representations as to the accuracy or completeness. Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. retains all rights related to the content of this report, which may not be redistributed or otherwise transmitted without prior consent.

Ratings

Issues are rated 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 as follows:

- 1: Outperform TOPIX/benchmark index by more than 15% over the next 12 months.
- 2: Outperform TOPIX/benchmark index by 5-15% over the next 12 months.
- 3: Out/underperform TOPIX/benchmark index by less than 5% over the next 12 months.
- 4: Underperform TOPIX/benchmark index by 5-15% over the next 12 months.
- 5: Underperform TOPIX/benchmark index by more than 15% over the next 12 months.

Benchmark index: TOPIX for Japan, S&P 500 for US, STOXX Europe 600 for Europe, HSI for Hong Kong, STI for Singapore, KOSPI for Korea, TWII for Taiwan, and S&P/ASX 200 for Australia.

Target Prices

Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. sets target prices based on its analysts' earnings estimates for subject companies. Risks to target prices include, but are not limited to, unexpected significant changes in subject companies' earnings trends and the macroeconomic environment.

Disclosures related to Daiwa Securities

Please refer to https://drp.daiwa.co.jp/rp-daiwa/direct/reportDisclaimer/e_disclaimer.pdf for information on conflicts of interest for Daiwa Securities, securities held by Daiwa Securities, companies for which Daiwa Securities or foreign affiliates of Daiwa Securities Group have acted as a lead underwriter, and other disclosures concerning individual companies. If you need more information on this matter, please contact the Research Production Department of Daiwa Securities.

Explanatory Document of Unregistered Credit Ratings

This report may use credit ratings assigned by rating agencies that are not registered with Japan's Financial Services Agency pursuant to Article 66, Paragraph 27 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act. Please review the relevant disclaimer regarding credit ratings issued by such agencies at: https://drp.daiwa.co.jp/rp-daiwa/direct/reportDisclaimer/credit_ratings.pdf. If you need more information on this matter, please contact the Research Production Department of Daiwa Securities.

Notification items pursuant to Article 37 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law

(This Notification is only applicable to where report is distributed by Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.) If you decide to enter into a business arrangement with our company based on the information described in this report, we ask you to pay close attention to the following items.

- In addition to the purchase price of a financial instrument, our company will collect a trading commission* for each transaction as agreed beforehand with you. Since commissions may be included in the purchase price or may not be charged for certain transactions, we recommend that you confirm the commission for each transaction. In some cases, our company also may charge a maximum of ¥2 million per year as a standing proxy fee for our deposit of your securities, if you are a non-resident.
- For derivative and margin transactions etc., our company may require collateral or margin requirements in accordance with an agreement made beforehand with you. Ordinarily in such cases, the amount of the transaction will be in excess of the required collateral or margin requirements**.
- There is a risk that you will incur losses on your transactions due to changes in the market price of financial instruments based on fluctuations in interest rates, exchange rates, stock prices, real estate prices, commodity prices, and others. In addition, depending on the content of the transaction, the loss could exceed the amount of the collateral or margin requirements.
- There may be a difference between bid price etc. and ask price etc. of OTC derivatives handled by our company.
- Before engaging in any trading, please thoroughly confirm accounting and tax treatments regarding your trading in financial instruments with such experts as certified public accountants.

* The amount of the trading commission cannot be stated here in advance because it will be determined between our company and you based on current market conditions and the content of each transaction etc.

** The ratio of margin requirements etc. to the amount of the transaction cannot be stated here in advance because it will be determined between our company and you based on current market conditions and the content of each transaction etc.

When making an actual transaction, please be sure to carefully read the materials presented to you prior to the execution of agreement, and to take responsibility for your own decisions regarding the signing of the agreement with our company.

Corporate Name: Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

Registered: Financial Instruments Business Operator, Chief of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Kin-sho) No.108

Memberships: Japan Securities Dealers Association, The Financial Futures Association of Japan, Japan Investment Advisers Association, Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association, Japan Security Token Offering Association