

# Daiwa's View

## Policy ops to be reviewed while board member Sakurai in office

- At press conference, Gov. Kuroda gave consideration to side effects; aiming to expeditiously respond to changes

Fixed Income Research Section  
FICC Research Dept.

Chief Market Economist  
**Mari Iwashita**  
(81) 3 5555-8852  
mari.iwashita@daiwa.co.jp



Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

### Policy ops to be reviewed while board member Sakurai in office

**Jan BOJ MPM uneventful; *Outlook Report* maintained moderate recovery scenario**

**Downward pressure to remain strong for now mainly in face-to-face services consumption**

**At regular press conference by Gov. Kuroda, questions focused on policy review in Mar**

**Aiming for expeditious responses to changes, giving consideration to side effects**

The BOJ's January Monetary Policy Meeting (MPM) on the 20<sup>th</sup> and the 21<sup>st</sup> was held during a preparatory period ahead of the policy review meeting scheduled for March. The central bank decided to keep the status quo by a majority vote (alongside one-year extension of loan disbursements under Fund-Provisioning Measure to Stimulate Bank Lending and Fund-Provisioning Measure to Support Strengthening Foundations for Economic Growth). In the January *Outlook for Economic Activity and Prices* report (*Outlook Report*), which is an important source for checking economic and financial conditions, the moderate economic recovery scenario on gradual mitigation of the pandemic impact is unchanged. The report said that "downward pressure stemming from the impact of the resurgence of COVID-19 is likely to remain strong for the time being, particularly in face-to-face services consumption." Compared to the previous October figures, projections for the growth rate were lifted slightly centering on FY21 on the back of the effects from economic measures. Meanwhile, price projections were largely unchanged. The BOJ's outlook is based on the following assumptions—(1) the impact of COVID-19 will wane gradually and then subside toward the end of the projection period, (2) while the impact remains, firms' and households' medium/long-term growth expectations will not decline substantially, and (3) the smooth functioning of financial intermediation will be ensured with financial system stability being maintained. Due to the declaration of another state of emergency (SOE) for eleven prefectures in early January, it is highly likely that Jan-Mar GDP will decline y/y. The outlook is highly uncertain, including concern about whether the SOE will be lifted on 7 February.

At the post-meeting regular press conference by BOJ governor Haruhiko Kuroda, questions were focused on the policy assessment in March. In December when the central bank announced that it would assess the policy, he stated that "we will examine operations under yield curve control and various measures such as asset purchases," and made a definitive statement that "we will not review the 2% target, inflation-overshooting commitment, or negative rate policy." One month has since passed. This time, he stated that "we will conduct effective monetary easing by containing the side effects as much as possible," sharing the recognition on the direction of the policy review. Regarding concrete measures, he pointed out that "various discussions, such as [a decline] in market function, are being made, although the YCC has been effective." On top of that, he stated that "we need to enable the YCC to expeditiously cope with changes, while maintaining its framework. We can think of more modulated operations." The central bank intends to increase the leeway in policy operations and make the policy more sustainable, but it appears to be giving consideration to the side effects.

**FY20-22 Forecasts of Majority of Policy Board Members (y/y % chg)**

	Real GDP	CPI (all items less fresh food)	(Ref.) Excl. effects of consumption tax hike and policies concerning provision of free education
FY20	-5.7 ~ -5.4 [-5.6]	-0.7 ~ -0.5 [-0.5]	-0.8 ~ -0.6 [-0.6]
As of Oct 2020	-5.6 ~ -5.3 [-5.5]	-0.7 ~ -0.5 [-0.6]	-0.8 ~ -0.6 [-0.7]
FY21	+3.3 ~ +4.0 [+3.9]		+0.3~+0.5 [+0.5]
As of Oct 2020	+3.0 ~ +3.8 [+3.6]		+0.2~+0.6 [+0.4]
FY22	+1.5 ~ +2.0 [+1.8]		+0.7~+0.8 [+0.7]
As of Oct 2020	+1.5 ~ +1.8 [+1.6]		+0.4~+0.7 [+0.7]

Source: BOJ; compiled by Daiwa Securities.

Notes: (1) Figures in brackets indicate the medians of the Policy Board members' forecasts (point estimates).

(2) The forecasts of the majority of the Policy Board members are constructed as follows: each Policy Board member's forecast takes the form of a point estimate – namely, the figure to which he or she attaches the highest probability of realization. These forecasts are then shown as a range, with the highest figure and the lowest figure excluded. The range does not indicate the forecast errors.

(3) Each Policy Board member makes their forecasts taking into account the effects of past policy decisions and with reference to views incorporated in financial markets regarding the future conduct of policy.

(4) The direct effects of the October 2019 consumption tax hike on the CPI for fiscal 2020 are estimated to be 0.5 percentage point. In addition, based on a specific assumption, the direct effects of policies concerning the provision of free education on the CPI for fiscal 2020 are estimated to be around minus 0.4 percentage point.

**Assessment results to be compiled as appendix**

**Given assessment results, board will decide to review YCC ops, make asset purchases more flexible**

**We will confirm whether Dec MPM minutes, Summary of Opinions of Jan MPM include concrete measures**

**Senshu University's reflationist Noguchi to replace Sakurai**

Meanwhile, he admitted that ETF purchases were also the target of policy review, saying that “we will discuss it in the March meeting, given the assessment results. It is not appropriate to say here ahead of the meeting.” According to this context, the BOJ is expected to take the process in which the assessment results (analysis results to be compiled as appendix) are shown, and based on these results, the board will decide to review YCC operations (acceptance of wider fluctuation range) and make asset purchases more flexible. That said, the BOJ clearly said that it would not change the framework. It is likely to show that this framework is effective as a result of assessment, and then think of measures to sustain the policy. The volume of materials is expected to be larger than that at the review meeting in October 2019, although it would be less than that under the Comprehensive Assessment in September 2016 (which was assumed to review framework). I take off my hat to the analysis/compilation staff's laborious efforts. On the day of the announcement (19 Mar), we had better be prepared for the large amount of materials.

Next week, the minutes of the MPM on 17-18 December (which may be more informative than Summary of Opinions) is to be released on 26 January, and the Summary of Opinions of the January MPM is to be announced on 29 January. We hope to confirm whether they include concrete opinions and measures after the recognition of the policy review direction was shared.

Shortly after 13:00, 1.5 hours after the announcement of the January MPM result, the government submitted a personnel proposal to obtain the Diet's agreement, in which Senshu University's Professor Asahi Noguchi is nominated as a replacement of BOJ board member Makoto Sakurai, whose term of office expires at the end of March. Professor Noguchi, a reflationist economist, is one of the members who supported Abenomics. Last spring as well, his name appeared as a candidate to replace board member Yutaka Harada. Although some people anticipated a change in the method of personnel selection due to the shift from the Abe administration to the Suga administration, we can say that the method was adopted. From April, the number of reflationists will become four (other three are Deputy Gov. Masazumi Wakatabe, board member Goushi Kataoka, board member Seiji Adachi).

**Fiscal year-end  
measures one reason of  
policy assessment in  
Mar MPM, but market  
disruptions need to be  
avoided**

**Unable to get why BOJ  
did not wait for Apr  
*Financial System  
Report, Outlook Report***

**BOJ to decide to review  
policy ops while Sakurai  
in office**

Thus far, I have thought that the BOJ chose March for the assessment meeting to come up in advance with fiscal year-end measures and head off the sort of market disruptions that occurred in March 2020. If the BOJ's decision includes measures to mitigate the side-effects and the market understands it as a scaling-back of easing measures, it may cause correction in stock prices and a stronger yen, which is meaningless. As the BOJ needs to avoid market disruptions at an important term-end period, it should emphasize the sustainability of easing policy. Still, why did it choose such risky timing? I was not able to get why the BOJ did not wait another month until April, when the *Financial System Report* and *Outlook Report* (which checks financial, price, economic conditions) are to be released. However, I came up with one reason from the aforementioned BOJ's personnel proposal. The BOJ may have wanted to decide to review policy operations while board member Sakurai is still in office in anticipation of obtaining consent from him with respect to measures to cope with the side effects.

## Explanatory Document of Unregistered Credit Ratings

In order to ensure the fairness and transparency in the markets, Credit Rating Agencies became subject to the Credit Rating Agencies' registration system based on the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act. In accordance with this Act, in soliciting customers, Financial Instruments Business Operators, etc. shall not use the credit ratings provided by unregistered Credit Rating Agencies without informing customers of the fact that those Credit Rating Agencies are not registered, and shall also inform customers of the significance and limitations of credit ratings, etc.

### ■ The Significance of Registration

Registered Credit Rating Agencies are subject to the following regulations:

- 1) Duty of good faith.
- 2) Establishment of control systems (fairness of the rating process, and prevention of conflicts of interest, etc.).
- 3) Prohibition of the ratings in cases where Credit Rating Agencies have a close relationship with the issuers of the financial instruments to be rated, etc.
- 4) Duty to disclose information (preparation and publication of rating policies, etc. and public disclosure of explanatory documents).

In addition to the above, Registered Credit Rating Agencies are subject to the supervision of the Financial Services Agency ("FSA"), and as such may be ordered to produce reports, be subject to on-site inspection, and be ordered to improve business operations, whereas unregistered Credit Rating Agencies are free from such regulations and supervision.

### ■ Credit Rating Agencies

#### [Standard & Poor's]

##### The Name of the Credit Rating Agencies group, etc

The name of the Credit Rating Agencies group: S&P Global Ratings ("Standard & Poor's")

The name and registration number of the Registered Credit Rating Agency in the group: S&P Global Ratings Japan Inc. (FSA commissioner (Rating) No.5)

##### How to acquire information related to an outline of the rating policies and methods adopted by the person who determines Credit Ratings

The information is posted under "Unregistered Rating Information" (<http://www.standardandpoors.co.jp/unregistered>) in the "Library and Regulations" section on the website of S&P Global Ratings Japan Inc. (<http://www.standardandpoors.co.jp>)

##### Assumptions, Significance and Limitations of Credit Ratings

Credit ratings assigned by Standard & Poor's are statements of opinion on the future credit quality of specific issuers or issues as of the date they are expressed and they are not indexes which show the probability of the occurrence of the failure to pay by the issuer or a specific debt and do not guarantee creditworthiness. Credit ratings are not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold any securities, or a statement of market liquidity or prices in the secondary market of any issues.

Credit ratings may change depending on various factors, including issuers' performance, changes in external environment, performance of underlying assets, creditworthiness of counterparties and others. Standard & Poor's conducts rating analysis based on information it believes to be provided by the reliable source and assigns credit ratings only when it believes there is enough information in terms of quality and quantity to make a conclusion. However, Standard & Poor's does not perform an audit, due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives from the issuer or a third party, or guarantee its accuracy, completeness or timeliness of the results by using the information. Moreover, it needs to be noted that it may incur a potential risk due to the limitation of the historical data that are available for use depending on the rating.

This information is based on information Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. has received from sources it believes to be reliable as of March 7th, 2017, but it does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of this information. For details, please refer to the website of S&P Global Ratings Japan Inc. (<http://www.standardandpoors.co.jp>)

#### [Moody's]

##### The Name of the Credit Rating Agencies Group, etc

The name of the Credit Rating Agencies group: Moody's Investors Service ("MIS")

The name and registration number of the Registered Credit Rating Agency in the group: Moody's Japan K.K. (FSA commissioner (Rating) No.2)

##### How to acquire information related to an outline of the rating policies and methods adopted by the person who determines Credit Ratings

The information is posted under "Unregistered Rating explanation" in the section on "The use of Ratings of Unregistered Agencies" on the website of Moody's Japan K.K. (The website can be viewed after clicking on "Credit Rating Business" on the Japanese version of Moody's website ([https://www.moodys.com/pages/default\\_ja.aspx](https://www.moodys.com/pages/default_ja.aspx)))

##### Assumptions, Significance and Limitations of Credit Ratings

Credit ratings are Moody's Investors Service's ("MIS") current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. MIS defines credit risk as the risk that an entity may not meet its contractual, financial obligations as they come due and any estimated financial loss in the event of default. Credit ratings do not address any other risk, including but not limited to: liquidity risk, market value risk, or price volatility. Credit ratings do not constitute investment or financial advice, and credit ratings are not recommendations to purchase, sell, or hold particular securities. No warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose of any such rating or other opinion or information, is given or made by MIS in any form or manner whatsoever.

Based on the information received from issuers or from public sources, the credit risks of the issuers or obligations are assessed. MIS adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MIS considers to be reliable. However, MIS is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

This information is based on information Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. has received from sources it believes to be reliable as of April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, but it does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of this information. For details, please refer to the website of Moody's Japan K.K. ([https://www.moodys.com/pages/default\\_ja.aspx](https://www.moodys.com/pages/default_ja.aspx))

#### [Fitch]

##### The Name of the Credit Rating Agencies group, etc

The name of the Credit Rating Agencies group: Fitch Ratings ("Fitch")

The name and registration number of the Registered Credit Rating Agency in the group: Fitch Ratings Japan Limited (FSA commissioner (Rating) No.7)

##### How to acquire information related to an outline of the rating policies and methods adopted by the person who determines Credit Ratings

The information is posted under "Outline of Rating Policies" in the section of "Regulatory Affairs" on the website of Fitch Ratings Japan Limited (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/japan>)

##### Assumptions, Significance and Limitations of Credit Ratings

Ratings assigned by Fitch are opinions based on established criteria and methodologies. Ratings are not facts, and therefore cannot be described as being "accurate" or "inaccurate". Credit ratings do not directly address any risk other than credit risk. Credit ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price or market liquidity for rated instruments. Ratings are relative measures of risk; as a result, the assignment of ratings in the same category to entities and obligations may not fully reflect small differences in the degrees of risk. Credit ratings, as opinions on relative ranking of vulnerability to default, do not imply or convey a specific statistical probability of default.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings, Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The assignment of a rating to any issuer or any security should not be viewed as a guarantee of the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the information relied on in connection with the rating or the results obtained from the use of such information. If any such information should turn out to contain misrepresentations or to be otherwise misleading, the rating associated with that information may not be appropriate. Despite any verification of current facts, ratings can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating was issued or affirmed.

For the details of assumption, purpose and restriction of credit ratings, please refer to "Definitions of ratings and other forms of opinion" on the website of Fitch Rating Japan Limited.

This information is based on information Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. has received from sources it believes to be reliable as of September 27th, 2019, but it does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of this information. For details, please refer to the website of Fitch Rating Japan Limited (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/japan>)

## **IMPORTANT**

This report is provided as a reference for making investment decisions and is not intended to be a solicitation for investment. Investment decisions should be made at your own discretion and risk. Content herein is based on information available at the time the report was prepared and may be amended or otherwise changed in the future without notice. We make no representations as to the accuracy or completeness. Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. retains all rights related to the content of this report, which may not be redistributed or otherwise transmitted without prior consent.

**Conflicts of Interest:** Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. may currently provide or may intend to provide investment banking services or other services to the company referred to in this report. In such cases, said services could give rise to conflicts of interest for Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

**Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. and Daiwa Securities Group Inc.:** Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. is a subsidiary of Daiwa Securities Group Inc.

### **Other Disclosures Concerning Individual Issues:**

- 1) As of 26 April 2016, Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd., its parent company Daiwa Securities Group Inc., GMO Financial Holdings, Inc., and its subsidiary GMO CLICK Securities, Inc. concluded a basic agreement for the establishment of a business alliance between the four companies.  
As of end-December 2017, Daiwa Securities Group Inc. owned shares in GMO Financial Holdings, Inc. equivalent to approximately 9.3% of the latter's outstanding shares. Given future developments in and benefits from the prospective business alliance, Daiwa Securities Group Inc. could boost its stake in GMO Financial Holdings, Inc. to up to 20% of outstanding shares.
- 2) Daiwa Real Estate Asset Management is a subsidiary of Daiwa Securities Group Inc. and serves as the asset management company for the following J-REITS: Daiwa Office Investment Corporation (8976), Daiwa Securities Living Investment Corporation (8986).
- 3) Samty Residential Investment became a consolidated subsidiary of Daiwa Securities Group Inc. effective 10 September 2019.
- 4) On 30 May 2019, Daiwa Securities Group Inc. formalized an equity/business alliance with Samty, and as of 14 June 2019 it owned 16.95% of shares outstanding in Samty along with convertible bonds with a par value of Y10bn. Conversion of all of said convertible bonds into common shares would bring the stake of Daiwa Securities Group Inc. in Samty to 27.28%.
- 5) Daiwa Securities Group Inc. and Credit Saison Co., Ltd. entered into a capital and business alliance, effective 5 September 2019. In line with this alliance, Daiwa Securities Group Inc. is to acquire up to 5.01% of Credit Saison's total common shares outstanding (excl. treasury shares; as of 31 Jul 2019).

### **Notification items pursuant to Article 37 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law**

(This Notification is only applicable to where report is distributed by Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.)

If you decide to enter into a business arrangement with our company based on the information described in this report, we ask you to pay close attention to the following items.

- In addition to the purchase price of a financial instrument, our company will collect a trading commission\* for each transaction as agreed beforehand with you. Since commissions may be included in the purchase price or may not be charged for certain transactions, we recommend that you confirm the commission for each transaction. In some cases, our company also may charge a maximum of ¥2 million per year as a standing proxy fee for our deposit of your securities, if you are a non-resident.
- For derivative and margin transactions etc., our company may require collateral or margin requirements in accordance with an agreement made beforehand with you. Ordinarily in such cases, the amount of the transaction will be in excess of the required collateral or margin requirements\*\*.
- There is a risk that you will incur losses on your transactions due to changes in the market price of financial instruments based on fluctuations in interest rates, exchange rates, stock prices, real estate prices, commodity prices, and others. In addition, depending on the content of the transaction, the loss could exceed the amount of the collateral or margin requirements.
- There may be a difference between bid price etc. and ask price etc. of OTC derivatives handled by our company.
- Before engaging in any trading, please thoroughly confirm accounting and tax treatments regarding your trading in financial instruments with such experts as certified public accountants.

\* The amount of the trading commission cannot be stated here in advance because it will be determined between our company and you based on current market conditions and the content of each transaction etc.

\*\* The ratio of margin requirements etc. to the amount of the transaction cannot be stated here in advance because it will be determined between our company and you based on current market conditions and the content of each transaction etc.

**When making an actual transaction, please be sure to carefully read the materials presented to you prior to the execution of agreement, and to take responsibility for your own decisions regarding the signing of the agreement with our company.**

Corporate Name: Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

Registered: Financial Instruments Business Operator, Chief of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Kin-sho) No.108

Memberships: Japan Securities Dealers Association, The Financial Futures Association of Japan, Japan Investment Advisers Association, Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association