

Daiwa's View

Market implications of BOJ policy meeting

- Superlong yields could be pushed higher due to Kuroda's remarks and possible extension of corporate financing support

Gov. Kuroda bracing for protracted battle

- BOJ should continue to maintain stability of financial system amid severe economic conditions

Superlong yields could be pushed higher due to Kuroda's remarks and possible extension of corporate financing support

Market implications of BOJ policy meeting (Tani)

The BOJ held a policy meeting yesterday, followed by the Governor's press conference. In this section we focus on the three points that appear to be of greatest interest to the market, as we will fully flesh out our reasoning from page 3.

First, the BOJ reaffirmed that it has completely shifted its main focus to the support of corporate financing. Speaking about the loans in its large support package worth Y110 trillion plus alpha, the centerpiece of its efforts, BOJ Governor Kuroda said that their due dates could be extended as conditions require and confirmed that support would not be cut off even if there was a second wave of covid-19. This should ease somewhat any concerns over the tail risks from a second wave.

Second were Mr. Kuroda's remarks about the yield curve. While adhering in principle to the importance of keeping the overall yield curve low and stable, he said that while superlong yields are rising in the US and Europe, they have not risen much in Japan, and that the BOJ is not specifying how much of an increase would be welcome. This reaffirms that the BOJ sees absolutely no problem with the 20yr yield having temporarily risen above 0.4% this month and that as long as the yield curve is forming naturally the BOJ will entrust superlong yields to the market. This makes it harder to envision the BOJ aggressively increasing the amount of its operations to suppress superlong yields, even amid the increase in JGB issuance from July.

Third and last were Mr. Kuroda's comments on the relationship between ratings actions (S&P's change from positive to stable) and rising yields. He said that he personally does not at all think the rating agencies' JGB ratings are objectively correct and warned of the need to discuss default risk separately from interest rates. We discuss these points here a bit further because they provoked many questions from investors.

The convertibility to gold has been suspended since the Nixon shock of 1971, and with currencies today completely fiat in nature, it is conceptually inconceivable that government debt denominated in a country's home currency could be defaulted on in the narrow sense of the word. If there were to be a debt default, it would have to be in relation to the world's reserve currency (the dollar), one reason for this being that in the CDS market, all sovereign protection (not just that for US Treasurys) is traded in USD denominations.

Fixed Income Research Section
FICC Research Dept.

Chief Strategist
Eiichiro Tani, CFA
(81) 3 5555-8780
eiichiro.tani@daiwa.co.jp

Chief Market Economist
Mari Iwashita
(81) 3 5555-8852
mari.iwashita@daiwa.co.jp
Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

The premiums on USD 5-year CDS for foreign currency-denominated sovereigns are 19bp for Germany, 28bp for Australia, 28bp for Korea, and 32bp for the UK, compared with 22bp for Japan. Despite having a sovereign rating of A from both Moody's (*) and S&P (*)¹, Japan has the second lowest premium among the major advanced economies, behind only Germany. It has almost consistently been the case that the market rates Japan highly and the rating agencies give Japan low marks. This suggests the possibility that it is not the market but rather the rating agencies that are in error.

Given that Japan is a net creditor nation by a large margin, benefits from the Fed and BOJ having established an unlimited standing swap line, and has a wide range of exporting industries that are highly capable of earning foreign currency, it is probably not much of a stretch to argue that the probability of Japan defaulting on its USD-denominated debt (although Japan's debt itself is not denominated in dollars) is extremely low.

As our chief credit analyst Toshiyasu Ohashi has repeatedly pointed out, since credit ratings are not always correct, they must be used skillfully. It is of course necessary to maintain a healthy degree of caution, but it is equally important to guard against being excessively concerned about and in fear of a downgrade. We appreciate that BOJ Governor Kuroda spoke boldly enough to remind us of this important point.

¹ (*) indicates unregistered domestic rating; please see disclaimer at end of document.

Gov. Kuroda bracing for protracted battle (Iwashita)

Jun BOJ MPM returned to normal schedule

The BOJ's June Monetary Policy Meeting (MPM) was held for two days on the 15-16th in line with the initial schedule. The schedule has returned to a normal one for the first time since the January meeting. The status quo was maintained for the policy because (1) only three weeks have passed since the emergency meeting on 22 May, and (2) the market has been stable due to the effects of various measures (see chart below). The total size of "the Special Program to Support Financing in Response to the Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19)" was increased from Y75n to "Y110tn + α " in step with the government expanding its interest-free unsecured loan program as part of the second supplementary budget that was passed on 12 June. As this is automatic accumulation in line with the government's budgetary provision, it is not considered as an additional measure that requires a vote. This was shown by the amount clearly stated in the chart of additional materials.

Size of special program to cope with COVID-19 automatically upped in step with second extra budget

Mr. Kuroda's press conference: Weaker tone than in previous conference, with cautious wording

The post-meeting press conference by BOJ governor Haruhiko Kuroda was held at the podium in a large meeting room similar to April. His tone was weak compared to that in the previous conference. As a whole, there were many cautious phrases, given that the overall economy was assessed as being "in an extremely severe situation" in the June statement. Moreover, risks to the outlook were expressed as "there have been extremely high uncertainties." As this phrase is commonly used among central banks, we can feel that they are bracing for a protracted battle regarding responses to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Until FY22, BOJ is far from rate hikes; most important point is yield curve remaining low and stable

In replying to questions, he stated that possible concrete easing tools are (1) expansion of the special support program, (2) a cut in short-term and long-term interest rates, and (3) an increase in ETF purchases. He pointed out the possibility that the first tool will be needed, depending on the outbreak situation. Regarding purchases of CP and corporate bonds, he implied that the current period until the end-March 2021 can be extended, depending on the situation. Meanwhile, he regarded the biggest issue with respect to the virus as emerging nations, saying that "unless vaccines widely become available, the risk of another wave of infections remains." While the Fed's dot chart showed a stance of not raising interest rates until 2022, the BOJ's price projections in the *Outlook for Economic Activity and Prices* report (*Outlook Report*) are low. Mr. Kuroda admitted that the BOJ is far from a rate-hike situation until FY22. As expected, there is a long way to go until normalization. Regarding the yield curve, which is garnering a lot of interest in the JGB market, he replied that "the most important point is that the yield curve remains low and stable." As he also stated that "Japan's superlong yields have not risen that much," the current level appears to still be acceptable.

BOJ's measures to strengthen easing played out in Apr

The BOJ's responses to the COVID-19 crisis started with the extraordinary announcement of Gov. Kuroda's statement in the morning of 2 March. However, its measures to strengthen easing played out on 27 April. Since then, it has just increased the size of operations and extended the period. For the time being, the central bank is likely to continue with operations while checking the effects of responses thus far.

Chart: BOJ's Measures to Cope with COVID-19 Pandemic (since Mar 2020, as of 16 Jun)

Support for corporate financing	<p>Special Program to Support Financing in Response to the COVID 19</p> <p>Total size of around Y110tn + α Until end-Mar 2021</p> <p>① Purchases of CP/corporate bonds: Y20tn</p> <p>② Special operations in response to COVID-19 pandemic (incl. new fund-provisioning measure): Y90tn</p>
Provision of yen and foreign currency funds to stabilize financial markets	<p>① JGB purchases: Unlimited</p> <p>② Operations to supply USD Actual amount of increase since Feb: Y40tn (total of ① and ②)</p>
Purchases of ETF/J-REIT	<p>Annual pace of about Y12tn For the time being</p> <p>Pace of annual purchases ① ETF: Y12tn, ② J-REIT: Y180bn</p>

Source: BOJ; compiled by Daiwa Securities.

Despite signs of bottoming out of sentiment indicators, level still low

Replies to the BOJ's June Tankan survey (to be released 1 Jul) have started (normally about 70% of responses received by around 11 Jun, response deadline). Due to full-scale lifting of a state of emergency, sentiment indicators overall are widely expected to have bottomed in Apr-May. Even after hitting a bottom, however, the DI level is still low, which will prevent us from envisaging a sharp earnings recovery. The June Tankan will serve as a factor to confirm differences across sectors.

Jun Tankan will confirm differences across sectors

Jul Outlook Report attracting attention, but projections for negative growth in GDP and prices to be unchanged

In the July *Outlook Report* to be released on 15 July, board members will discuss whether they need to revise GDP growth rate projections following the passage of the second extra budget. It is highly likely that Apr-Jun real GDP will post substantial negative growth. Despite a phased resumption of economic activities since June, only a fair degree of pent-up demand is expected in Jul-Sep amid recommendation for cautious activities. As witnessed by growing concerns about a second wave of infections, COVID-19 entails strong uncertainty. The downside risk thus remains high. In the previous *Outlook Report* issued in April, the forecast range of majority of policy board members was -5.0 to -3.0% for FY20 and $+2.8$ to $+3.9\%$ for FY21. The FY20 projection is slightly stronger than the private-sector forecasts (avg. of ESP forecast survey of -5.37% for FY20 and $+3.27\%$ for FY21 in Jun). Meanwhile, the projection range of FY20 prices (core CPI incl. impact of consumption tax hike, by majority of board members) as of April was -0.7 to -0.3% , which is close to the private-sector forecast (-0.48% for FY20). As such, the BOJ already has a cautious view. As it is assumed that prices will not easily rise, the current weakness is unlikely to lead to discussion on additional easing.

Already assumed that prices will not easily rise, so current situation unlikely to lead to talk on additional easing

Lack of confidence in economy in COVID-19 era; impact of new normal should be discerned

At the press conference, Mr. Kuroda stated that "we do not need to definitively say that the economy will not recover for a long period." However, he also showed a lack of confidence, saying that "people have not returned to sightseeing spots or restaurants. We do not know yet if this will be a short-term phenomenon or the new normal." Then, he made an in-depth remark—"if the demand structure changes in the new lifestyle, some sectors may start to face difficulty in financing." Meanwhile, he also said that "I do not necessarily think that prices will go down in the new lifestyle." Either way, time is needed for assessment as we do not yet know the degree of the impact.

Dividing line between monetary policy and fiscal policy becoming vague in US

In the US, the Fed is buying corporate bonds via an SPV invested in by the Treasury Department, and the dividing line between monetary policy and fiscal policy is becoming vague. In Japan, the government plays a large role in support of continuous business operations, maintenance of employment, and prevention of chain-reaction bankruptcy. As long as the phase of these crisis responses continues, the top priority at the BOJ is to stabilize the financial system, rather than achievement of the price stability target. In the near term, the BOJ is likely to maintain its lateral support by buying enough JGBs (which will be issued further to fund gov't fiscal stimulus) to keep the 10-year JGB yield (around 0%) from rising. The central bank will probably think that additional easing is necessary only in the case of drastic downward revisions to economic projections or appreciation of the yen staying below the rate of 100 to the dollar.

In Japan, gov't has large role in corporate support, while BOJ should maintain stability of financial system

Explanatory Document of Unregistered Credit Ratings

In order to ensure the fairness and transparency in the markets, Credit Rating Agencies became subject to the Credit Rating Agencies' registration system based on the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act. In accordance with this Act, in soliciting customers, Financial Instruments Business Operators, etc. shall not use the credit ratings provided by unregistered Credit Rating Agencies without informing customers of the fact that those Credit Rating Agencies are not registered, and shall also inform customers of the significance and limitations of credit ratings, etc.

■ The Significance of Registration

Registered Credit Rating Agencies are subject to the following regulations:

- 1) Duty of good faith.
- 2) Establishment of control systems (fairness of the rating process, and prevention of conflicts of interest, etc.).
- 3) Prohibition of the ratings in cases where Credit Rating Agencies have a close relationship with the issuers of the financial instruments to be rated, etc.
- 4) Duty to disclose information (preparation and publication of rating policies, etc. and public disclosure of explanatory documents).

In addition to the above, Registered Credit Rating Agencies are subject to the supervision of the Financial Services Agency ("FSA"), and as such may be ordered to produce reports, be subject to on-site inspection, and be ordered to improve business operations, whereas unregistered Credit Rating Agencies are free from such regulations and supervision.

■ Credit Rating Agencies

[Standard & Poor's]

The Name of the Credit Rating Agencies group, etc

The name of the Credit Rating Agencies group: S&P Global Ratings ("Standard & Poor's")

The name and registration number of the Registered Credit Rating Agency in the group: S&P Global Ratings Japan Inc. (FSA commissioner (Rating) No.5)

How to acquire information related to an outline of the rating policies and methods adopted by the person who determines Credit Ratings

The information is posted under "Unregistered Rating Information" (<http://www.standardandpoors.co.jp/unregistered>) in the "Library and Regulations" section on the website of S&P Global Ratings Japan Inc. (<http://www.standardandpoors.co.jp>)

Assumptions, Significance and Limitations of Credit Ratings

Credit ratings assigned by Standard & Poor's are statements of opinion on the future credit quality of specific issuers or issues as of the date they are expressed and they are not indexes which show the probability of the occurrence of the failure to pay by the issuer or a specific debt and do not guarantee creditworthiness. Credit ratings are not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold any securities, or a statement of market liquidity or prices in the secondary market of any issues.

Credit ratings may change depending on various factors, including issuers' performance, changes in external environment, performance of underlying assets, creditworthiness of counterparties and others. Standard & Poor's conducts rating analysis based on information it believes to be provided by the reliable source and assigns credit ratings only when it believes there is enough information in terms of quality and quantity to make a conclusion. However, Standard & Poor's does not perform an audit, due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives from the issuer or a third party, or guarantee its accuracy, completeness or timeliness of the results by using the information. Moreover, it needs to be noted that it may incur a potential risk due to the limitation of the historical data that are available for use depending on the rating.

This information is based on information Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. has received from sources it believes to be reliable as of March 7th, 2017, but it does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of this information. For details, please refer to the website of S&P Global Ratings Japan Inc. (<http://www.standardandpoors.co.jp>)

[Moody's]

The Name of the Credit Rating Agencies Group, etc

The name of the Credit Rating Agencies group: Moody's Investors Service ("MIS")

The name and registration number of the Registered Credit Rating Agency in the group: Moody's Japan K.K. (FSA commissioner (Rating) No.2)

How to acquire information related to an outline of the rating policies and methods adopted by the person who determines Credit Ratings

The information is posted under "Unregistered Rating explanation" in the section on "The use of Ratings of Unregistered Agencies" on the website of Moody's Japan K.K. (The website can be viewed after clicking on "Credit Rating Business" on the Japanese version of Moody's website (https://www.moody.com/pages/default_ja.aspx))

Assumptions, Significance and Limitations of Credit Ratings

Credit ratings are Moody's Investors Service's ("MIS") current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. MIS defines credit risk as the risk that an entity may not meet its contractual, financial obligations as they come due and any estimated financial loss in the event of default. Credit ratings do not address any other risk, including but not limited to: liquidity risk, market value risk, or price volatility. Credit ratings do not constitute investment or financial advice, and credit ratings are not recommendations to purchase, sell, or hold particular securities. No warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose of any such rating or other opinion or information, is given or made by MIS in any form or manner whatsoever.

Based on the information received from issuers or from public sources, the credit risks of the issuers or obligations are assessed. MIS adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MIS considers to be reliable. However, MIS is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

This information is based on information Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. has received from sources it believes to be reliable as of April 16th, 2018, but it does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of this information. For details, please refer to the website of Moody's Japan K.K. (https://www.moody.com/pages/default_ja.aspx)

[Fitch]

The Name of the Credit Rating Agencies group, etc

The name of the Credit Rating Agencies group: Fitch Ratings ("Fitch")

The name and registration number of the Registered Credit Rating Agency in the group: Fitch Ratings Japan Limited (FSA commissioner (Rating) No.7)

How to acquire information related to an outline of the rating policies and methods adopted by the person who determines Credit Ratings

The information is posted under "Outline of Rating Policies" in the section of "Regulatory Affairs" on the website of Fitch Ratings Japan Limited (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/japan>)

Assumptions, Significance and Limitations of Credit Ratings

Ratings assigned by Fitch are opinions based on established criteria and methodologies. Ratings are not facts, and therefore cannot be described as being "accurate" or "inaccurate". Credit ratings do not directly address any risk other than credit risk. Credit ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price or market liquidity for rated instruments. Ratings are relative measures of risk; as a result, the assignment of ratings in the same category to entities and obligations may not fully reflect small differences in the degrees of risk. Credit ratings, as opinions on relative ranking of vulnerability to default, do not imply or convey a specific statistical probability of default.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings, Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The assignment of a rating to any issuer or any security should not be viewed as a guarantee of the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the information relied on in connection with the rating or the results obtained from the use of such information. If any such information should turn out to contain misrepresentations or to be otherwise misleading, the rating associated with that information may not be appropriate. Despite any verification of current facts, ratings can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating was issued or affirmed.

For the details of assumption, purpose and restriction of credit ratings, please refer to "Definitions of ratings and other forms of opinion" on the website of Fitch Rating Japan Limited.

This information is based on information Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. has received from sources it believes to be reliable as of September 27th, 2019, but it does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of this information. For details, please refer to the website of Fitch Rating Japan Limited (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/japan>)

IMPORTANT

This report is provided as a reference for making investment decisions and is not intended to be a solicitation for investment. Investment decisions should be made at your own discretion and risk. Content herein is based on information available at the time the report was prepared and may be amended or otherwise changed in the future without notice. We make no representations as to the accuracy or completeness. Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. retains all rights related to the content of this report, which may not be redistributed or otherwise transmitted without prior consent.

Conflicts of Interest: Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. may currently provide or may intend to provide investment banking services or other services to the company referred to in this report. In such cases, said services could give rise to conflicts of interest for Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. and Daiwa Securities Group Inc.: Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. is a subsidiary of Daiwa Securities Group Inc.

Other Disclosures Concerning Individual Issues:

- 1) As of 26 April 2016, Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd., its parent company Daiwa Securities Group Inc., GMO Financial Holdings, Inc., and its subsidiary GMO CLICK Securities, Inc. concluded a basic agreement for the establishment of a business alliance between the four companies. As of end-December 2017, Daiwa Securities Group Inc. owned shares in GMO Financial Holdings, Inc. equivalent to approximately 9.3% of the latter's outstanding shares. Given future developments in and benefits from the prospective business alliance, Daiwa Securities Group Inc. could boost its stake in GMO Financial Holdings, Inc. to up to 20% of outstanding shares.
- 2) Daiwa Real Estate Asset Management is a subsidiary of Daiwa Securities Group Inc. and serves as the asset management company for the following J-REITs: Daiwa Office Investment Corporation (8976), Daiwa Securities Living Investment Corporation (8986).
- 3) Samty Residential Investment became a consolidated subsidiary of Daiwa Securities Group Inc. effective 10 September 2019.
- 4) On 30 May 2019, Daiwa Securities Group Inc. formalized an equity/business alliance with Samty, and as of 14 June 2019 it owned 16.95% of shares outstanding in Samty along with convertible bonds with a par value of ¥10bn. Conversion of all of said convertible bonds into common shares would bring the stake of Daiwa Securities Group Inc. in Samty to 27.28%.
- 5) Daiwa Securities Group Inc. and Credit Saison Co., Ltd. entered into a capital and business alliance, effective 5 September 2019. In line with this alliance, Daiwa Securities Group Inc. is to acquire up to 5.01% of Credit Saison's total common shares outstanding (excl. treasury shares; as of 31 Jul 2019).
- 6) NEC (6701): NOTICE REGARDING U.S. PERSONS: This report is not intended for distribution to or use by any person in the United States. Securities issued by NEC Corporation have been suspended from registration in the U.S. and are subject to an order of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission dated June 17, 2008, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. This document is not a recommendation or inducement of any purchase or sale of such securities by any person or entity located in the U.S. Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. disclaims any responsibility to any such person with respect to the content of this document. Any U.S. person receiving a copy of this report should disregard it.

Notification items pursuant to Article 37 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law

(This Notification is only applicable to where report is distributed by Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.)

If you decide to enter into a business arrangement with our company based on the information described in this report, we ask you to pay close attention to the following items.

- In addition to the purchase price of a financial instrument, our company will collect a trading commission* for each transaction as agreed beforehand with you. Since commissions may be included in the purchase price or may not be charged for certain transactions, we recommend that you confirm the commission for each transaction. In some cases, our company also may charge a maximum of ¥2 million per year as a standing proxy fee for our deposit of your securities, if you are a non-resident.
- For derivative and margin transactions etc., our company may require collateral or margin requirements in accordance with an agreement made beforehand with you. Ordinarily in such cases, the amount of the transaction will be in excess of the required collateral or margin requirements**.
- There is a risk that you will incur losses on your transactions due to changes in the market price of financial instruments based on fluctuations in interest rates, exchange rates, stock prices, real estate prices, commodity prices, and others. In addition, depending on the content of the transaction, the loss could exceed the amount of the collateral or margin requirements.
- There may be a difference between bid price etc. and ask price etc. of OTC derivatives handled by our company.
- Before engaging in any trading, please thoroughly confirm accounting and tax treatments regarding your trading in financial instruments with such experts as certified public accountants.

* The amount of the trading commission cannot be stated here in advance because it will be determined between our company and you based on current market conditions and the content of each transaction etc.

** The ratio of margin requirements etc. to the amount of the transaction cannot be stated here in advance because it will be determined between our company and you based on current market conditions and the content of each transaction etc.

When making an actual transaction, please be sure to carefully read the materials presented to you prior to the execution of agreement, and to take responsibility for your own decisions regarding the signing of the agreement with our company.

Corporate Name: Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

Registered: Financial Instruments Business Operator, Chief of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Kin-sho) No.108

Memberships: Japan Securities Dealers Association, The Financial Futures Association of Japan, Japan Investment Advisers Association, Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association