DaiwaSecurities

Daiwa's View

Summary of Opinions at Sep BOJ MPM

Overall tone appears to be leaning toward easing but no hints on concrete measures Fixed Income Research Section FICC Research Dept.

Economist
Kenji Yamamoto
(81) 3 5555-8784
kenji.yamamoto@daiwa.co.jp



Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

Summary of Opinions at Sep BOJ MPM

Overall tone appears to be leaning toward easing but no hints on concrete measures The BOJ announced the Summary of Opinions at the Monetary Policy Meeting (MPM) on 18-19 September ("summary report" hereafter in this report). Taking another step further from the July MPM, the central bank added the following phrasing in the statement at the September MPM: "Taking into consideration that it is becoming necessary to pay closer attention to the possibility that the inflation momentum will be lost, the Bank needs to reexamine economic and price developments at the next MPM."

◆ Statement at MPM on 19 Sep 2019

• Given that, recently, slowdowns in overseas economies have continued to be observed and their downside risks seem to be increasing, the Bank judges that it is becoming necessary to pay closer attention to the possibility that the momentum toward achieving the price stability target will be lost. Taking this situation into account, the Bank will reexamine economic and price developments at the next MPM, when it updates the outlook for economic activity and prices.

The focal points of the summary report were (1) whether the text means preannouncement of additional easing at the next October meeting, (2) in the case of additional easing, what tools will be used (whether deepening of negative rates will be the first option), and (3) whether the summary report will indicate hints regarding the outlook/measures to correct the yield downtrend (especially flattening of the superlong zone).

However, the released summary report did not include an opinion that implied additional easing or an insistence that additional easing should be necessarily conducted at the next meeting (except for some board members advocating reflation policy).

The BOJ governor and deputy governors appear to think that "the Bank should not have any preconceptions at this point regarding the outcome of its examination and future policy conduct." Even a board member who is aggressive regarding additional easing just pointed out the necessity of examination, saying that "As there is some possibility that the momentum toward achieving the price stability target will be lost, the Bank should examine whether additional easing measures will be necessary." Furthermore, no board members provided a clear indication of the tools for additional easing, except for Mr. Goushi Kataoka who is calling for the deepening of negative rates.

◆ Speech by BOJ governor Kuroda (24 Sep 2019)

• The Bank will examine economic and price developments from a broad perspective at the next MPM, based on observations that will be available by the time of the meeting, such as various economic indicators, the reports at the meeting of general managers of the Bank's branches, and developments in financial markets. Recently, the situation has been changing rapidly, with investors' risk aversion abating somewhat due to expectations for progress in US-China trade negotiations. Let me also add that the Bank does not have any preconception at this point regarding the outcome of its examination. ... The Bank will continue to pursue policy conduct in an appropriate manner without preconception, taking account of developments in economic activity and prices as well as financial conditions, while carefully examining various risks.



However, based on his opinion that "it is necessary to consider desirable policy responses while paying attention to the side effects," we identified one board member placing relative emphasis on the side effects who is also leaning toward additional easing, although he voted for the status quo at the September MPM.

With respect to this point, board member Hitoshi Suzuki (who has been vigorously pointing out the side effects of monetary policy thus far) was concerned about the risk that lower earnings at banks due to prolongation of the low interest rate environment may cause adverse effects on the real economy via a rise in the cost to procure foreign currencies. However, he was not opposed to additional easing explicitly, meaning that arguing about side effects is now a precarious position (in this regard, the *Financial System Report* to be released in mid-October should be checked carefully).

As mentioned, the overall tone of the summary report reflected Mr. Kuroda's remark that as risks are increasing as a whole, they are leaning toward easing more than before. In short, if the governor and deputy governors judge that additional easing is necessary as a result of reexamining economic/price developments at the next MPM, strong opposition is not expected from the board, except for Mr. Suzuki.

However, Mr. Kuroda stated in his speech on 24 September that "it will become necessary to pay closer attention to the costs of policy measures. ...Thus, the Bank recognizes that there remains an important challenge to consider what is required to further enhance the sustainability of policy measures." Therefore, the hurdle to conduct additional easing alone is also high.

In fact, according to the minutes of the July MPM, a few members pointed out the need to "constantly consider methods to alleviate policy side effects." In addition, one member pointed out that "if side effects materialized as a result of additional easing, it was necessary to consider methods to mitigate them." Given this, we do not assume that additional easing (such as deepening of negative rates) alone will be conducted. A package, including easing and measures to address side effects, is anticipated.

Even Mr. Kataoka (who has been insisting on deepening negative rates) pointed to the flattening of the yield curve as the reason for his insistence. As witnessed by this, there must be a shared awareness within the BOJ of the issues regarding flattening (excessive yield decline in the superlong zone).

The summary report also included a somewhat abrupt opinion on economic conditions, namely that "a decline in interest rates does not necessarily lead to a rise in stock prices and depreciation of the yen."

Summary of Opinions at MPM on 18-19 Sep 2019

• Under the low interest rate environment, the effects of interest rates on stock prices and foreign exchange rates might change. As a result, a decline in interest rates does not necessarily lead to a rise in stock prices and depreciation of the yen.

Mr. Kuroda clearly said that if they conduct additional easing, they should need to cut shortand medium-term interest rates, but a requirement when the BOJ implements policy responses appears to be that easing does not lead to lower stock prices/a stronger yen. In other words, a policy package that could make BOJ action "successful" is needed in order to prevent a repeat of market reactions at the time of introduction of negative rates in January 2016.





Source: Bloomberg; compiled by Daiwa Securities

While the market is aware that the BOJ is in an impasse in terms of policy measures, the central bank seems to be eager to eliminate such recognition. In order to prevent market speculation, the BOJ has repeated its recognition since the April MPM that it needs to thoroughly communicate to the public that it would make policy adjustments without hesitation if judged necessary. Based on this, the phrasing "clarification of forward guidance" in April and "will not hesitate" in July were clearly included in the statements.

◆ Minutes of MPM on 24-25 Apr 2019

• A few members said that the Bank needed to thoroughly communicate to the public that the proposed measures were necessary responses for continuing with the current powerful monetary easing, and that it would make policy adjustments without hesitation if judged necessary going forward with a view to maintaining the momentum toward achieving the price stability target.

The wording "reexamine" in the September statement is also in the same context. The summary report indicated several opinions insisting on the importance of communicating information to the public regarding diversity/effectiveness of policy measures and the stance of taking decisive actions if needed. In that respect, some board members stated that "the Bank should not have any preconceptions" for not only the outcome of reexamining economic/price developments but also policy measures. It appears that they are warning against growing market speculation only with regard to the deepening of negative rates.

However, recognizing that the conclusion of the Comprehensive Assessment in 2016 is still reasonable, the BOJ governor and deputy governors think that it is necessary to lower short- and medium-term interest rates further, but there is no need to cut superlong yields. On the contrary, they will not be surprised even if they rise. If so, policy tools would inevitably be limited. The BOJ is thus likely to send a message in line with this reality.

◆ Press Conference by BOJ governor Kuroda (24 Sep 2019)

• The conclusion of the Comprehensive Assessment in 2016 is unchanged. If we conduct additional easing, we need to lower short- and medium-term interest rates further. However, there is no need to cut superlong yields. On the contrary, we will not be surprised even if they rise.... If we cut short- and medium-term interest rates, we would adjust JGB purchase program to avoid an excessive decline in superlong yields.

Q: Are you thinking to steepen the yield curve (by lowering short- and medium-term interest rates and constraining a decline in superlong yields) in the case of additional easing?

A: It could happen. Regarding concrete easing measures, we would make an optimal choice via comprehensive considerations of four options, the combination of each option, or improvement measures, depending on the economic/price/financial conditions, as mentioned before.

In his speech on 24 September, Mr. Kuroda stated that "it is becoming necessary to pay closer attention to the possibility that the momentum toward achieving the price stability target will be lost." Then, he added that "developments in the output gap warrant particular attention." The result of the September Tankan (to be released today) and BOJ's output gap



data to be announced on 3 October will be key to forecasting the outcome of reexamining economic/price developments¹.

♦ Summary of Opinions at MPM on 18-19 Sep 2019

• The year-on-year rate of change in the CPI has stayed stable, remaining somewhat positive, while both the positive output gap and developments such as a rise in productivity are existing at the same time. However, careful attention should be paid to the risk that the output gap will narrow led by external demand.

Chart: Summary of Opinions at MPM on 18-19 Sep 2019

	: Summary of Opinions at MPM on 18-19 Sep 2019 Opinions on Monetary Policy	Remarks
1	Although it will take time to achieve the price stability target, it is necessary to persistently continue with the current powerful monetary easing as the momentum toward 2% inflation is maintained.	Status quo
2	The Bank should persistently continue with the current monetary policy stance with the aim of continuously encouraging the virtuous cycle of the economy to take hold and thereby achieving the price stability target.	Status quo
3	Taking into consideration that it is becoming necessary to pay closer attention to the possibility that the inflation momentum will be lost, the Bank needs to reexamine economic and price developments at the next MPM. It is desirable to describe this in the policy statement and make it clear to the public.	Language on reexamination of economic and price developments
4	In preparation for a situation in which there is a greater possibility that the momentum toward achieving the price stability target will be lost, it is important for the Bank to communicate with an emphasis that it has not reached an impasse on monetary policy measures in terms of either short- and long-term interest rates, quantity, or quality and that any kinds of measures are possible at all times.	Importance of eliminating speculation on limitations of easing measures and sending messages to the public
5	It is appropriate to maintain the current monetary easing policy for the time being. However, given the concern that the delay in the recovery in overseas economies will have a negative impact on Japan's economic activity and prices, it is necessary to consider desirable policy responses while paying attention to the side effects.	Status quo (While BOJ needs to consider policy responses, it should pay attention to side effects)
6	As there is some possibility that the momentum toward achieving the price stability target will be lost, the Bank should examine whether additional easing measures will be necessary. While making it clear that the aim of taking additional measures is to produce easing effects, the Bank needs to consider all possible policy measures without preconception, including cutting the short-term policy interest rate, lowering the target level of 10-year JGB yields, expanding asset purchases, and accelerating the expansion of the monetary base. In addition, given the lessons of unconventional monetary policy tools implemented so far at home and abroad, it is important to take decisive actions and widely communicate the effectiveness of policy measures.	Consideration of need of additional easing measures Importance of sending messages to the public
7	When considering the outlook for the output gap, inflation expectations, and various leading indicators of prices, the inflation momentum seems to be lost, and thus it is necessary to take additional easing measures preemptively. Given the current flattening of the yield curve, lowering the short-term policy interest rate is appropriate to such measures. Besides additional easing, the Bank should strengthen its commitment and enhance further coordination of fiscal and monetary policy.	Preventive policy responses Deepening of negative rates
8	At the next MPM, it is important to reexamine economic and price developments while also taking into account the results of the Tankan (Short-Term Economic Survey of Enterprises in Japan) and the reports made at the meeting of general managers of the Bank's branches. That said, the Bank should not have any preconceptions at this point regarding the outcome of its examination and future policy conduct.	Reexamination of economic and price developments
9	With regard to a negative interest rate policy, its impact on the overall economy should be considered first, rather than on banks' business conditions. According to the heat map in the <i>Financial System Report</i> , the total credit to GDP ratio started to increase after the introduction of quantitative and QQE and is getting closer to "red." Through QQE, banks' lending has increased and their business conditions must have improved. The problem is that deposits have increased more than total credit.	Objection to criticism about negative rate policy
10	If a decline in banks' profitability and an increase in risks of their assets both resulting from the continued low interest rate environment lead to a downgrade of their ratings, there is a possibility that foreign currency liquidity risks and foreign currency funding costs will rise and a negative impact will be exerted on their borrowing firms as well. Thus, it is necessary to continue to closely monitor changes in the creditworthiness of the banking system.	Impact on creditworthiness of banking system due to continued low interest rate environment

Source: BOJ; compiled by Daiwa Securities.

¹ Refer to our 24 Sep 2019 report <u>Daiwa's View: Review of process toward BOJ's "examination meeting" in Jul 2018.</u>



Explanatory Document of Unregistered Credit Ratings

In order to ensure the fairness and transparency in the markets, Credit Rating Agencies became subject to the Credit Rating Agencies' registration system based on the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act. In accordance with this Act, in soliciting customers, Financial Instruments Business Operators, etc. shall not use the credit ratings provided by unregistered Credit Rating Agencies without informing customers of the fact that those Credit Rating Agencies are not registered, and shall also inform customers of the significance and limitations of credit ratings, etc.

■ The Significance of Registration

Registered Credit Rating Agencies are subject to the following regulations:

- 1) Duty of good faith.
- 2) Establishment of control systems (fairness of the rating process, and prevention of conflicts of interest, etc.).
- 3) Prohibition of the ratings in cases where Credit Rating Agencies have a close relationship with the issuers of the financial instruments to be rated, etc.
- 4) Duty to disclose information (preparation and publication of rating policies, etc. and public disclosure of explanatory documents).

In addition to the above, Registered Credit Rating Agencies are subject to the supervision of the Financial Services Agency ("FSA"), and as such may be ordered to produce reports, be subject to on-site inspection, and be ordered to improve business operations, whereas unregistered Credit Rating Agencies are free from such regulations and supervision.

■ Credit Rating Agencies

[Standard & Poor's]

The Name of the Credit Rating Agencies group, etc

The name of the Credit Rating Agencies group: S&P Global Ratings ("Standard & Poor's")
The name and registration number of the Registered Credit Rating Agency in the group: S&P Global Ratings Japan Inc. (FSA commissioner (Rating) No.5)

How to acquire information related to an outline of the rating policies and methods adopted by the person who determines Credit Ratings

The information is posted under "Unregistered Rating Information" (http://www.standardandpoors.co.jp/unregistered) in the "Library and Regulations" section on the website of S&P Global Ratings Japan Inc. (http://www.standardandpoors.co.jp)

Assumptions, Significance and Limitations of Credit Ratings

Credit ratings assigned by Standard & Poor's are statements of opinion on the future credit quality of specific issuers or issues as of the date they are expressed and they are not indexes which show the probability of the occurrence of the failure to pay by the issuer or a specific debt and do not guarantee creditworthiness. Credit ratings are not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold any securities, or a statement of market liquidity or prices in the secondary market of any issues.

Credit ratings may change depending on various factors, including issuers' performance, changes in external environment, performance of underlying assets, creditworthiness of counterparties and others. Standard & Poor's conducts rating analysis based on information it believes to be provided by the reliable source and assigns credit ratings only when it believes there is enough information in terms of quality and quantity to make a conclusion. However, Standard & Poor's does not perform an audit, due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives from the issuer or a third party, or guarantee its accuracy, completeness or timeliness of the results by using the information. Moreover, it needs to be noted that it may incur a potential risk due to the limitation of the historical data that are available for use depending on the rating.

This information is based on information Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. has received from sources it believes to be reliable as of March 7th, 2017, but it does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of this information. For details, please refer to the website of S&P Global Ratings Japan Inc. (http://www.standardandpoors.co.jp)

The Name of the Credit Rating Agencies Group, etc

The name of the Credit Rating Agencies group: Moody's Investors Service ("MIS")

The name and registration number of the Registered Credit Rating Agency in the group: Moody's Japan K.K. (FSA commissioner (Rating) No.2)

How to acquire information related to an outline of the rating policies and methods adopted by the person who determines Credit Ratings

The information is posted under "Unregistered Rating explanation" in the section on "The use of Ratings of Unregistered Agencies" on the website of Moody's Japan K.K. (The website can be viewed after clicking on "Credit Rating Business" on the Japanese version of Moody's website (https://www.moodys.com/pages/default_ja.aspx)

Assumptions, Significance and Limitations of Credit Ratings

Credit ratings are Moody's Investors Service's ("MIS") current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. MIS defines credit risk as the risk that an entity may not meet its contractual, financial obligations as they come due and any estimated financial loss in the event of default. Credit ratings do not address any other risk, including but not limited to: liquidity risk, market value risk, or price volatility. Credit ratings do not constitute investment or financial advice, and credit ratings are not recommendations to purchase, sell, or hold particular securities. No warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose of any such rating or other opinion or information, is given or made by MIS in

Based on the information received from issuers or from public sources, the credit risks of the issuers or obligations are assessed. MIS adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MIS considers to be reliable. However, MIS is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

This information is based on information Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. has received from sources it believes to be reliable as of April 16th, 2018, but it does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of this information. For details, please refer to the website of Moody's Japan K.K. (https://www.moodys.com/pages/default_ja.aspx)

[Fitch]

The Name of the Credit Rating Agencies group, etc

The name of the Credit Rating Agencies group: Fitch Ratings ("Fitch")
The name and registration number of the Registered Credit Rating Agency in the group: Fitch Ratings Japan Limited (FSA commissioner (Rating) No.7)

How to acquire information related to an outline of the rating policies and methods adopted by the person who determines Credit Ratings

The information is posted under "Outline of Rating Policies" in the section of "Regulatory Affairs" on the website of Fitch Ratings Japan Limited (https://www.fitchratings.co.jp/web/)

Assumptions, Significance and Limitations of Credit Ratings

Ratings assigned by Fitch are opinions based on established criteria and methodologies. Ratings are not facts, and therefore cannot be described as being "accurate" or "inaccurate". Credit ratings do not directly address any risk other than credit risk. Credit ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price or market liquidity for rated instruments. Ratings are relative measures of risk; as a result, the assignment of ratings in the same category to entities and obligations may not fully reflect small differences in the degrees of risk. Credit ratings, as opinions on relative ranking of vulnerability to default, do not imply or convey a specific statistical probability of default.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings, Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The assignment of a rating to any issuer or any security should not be viewed as a guarantee of the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the information relied on in connection with the rating or the results obtained from the use of such information. If any such information should turn out to contain misrepresentations or to be otherwise misleading, the rating associated with that information may not be appropriate. Despite any verification of current facts, ratings can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating was issued or affirmed.

For the details of assumption, purpose and restriction of credit ratings, please refer to "Definitions of ratings and other forms of opinion" on the website of Fitch Rating

This information is based on information Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. has received from sources it believes to be reliable as of May 13th, 2016, but it does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of this information. For details, please refer to the website of Fitch Rating Japan Limited (https://www.fitchratings.co.jp/web/)



IMPORTANT

This report is provided as a reference for making investment decisions and is not intended to be a solicitation for investment. Investment decisions should be made at your own discretion and risk. Content herein is based on information available at the time the report was prepared and may be amended or otherwise changed in the future without notice. We make no representations as to the accuracy or completeness. Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. retains all rights related to the content of this report, which may not be redistributed or otherwise transmitted without prior consent.

Conflicts of Interest: Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. may currently provide or may intend to provide investment banking services or other services to the company referred to in this report. In such cases, said services could give rise to conflicts of interest for Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. and Daiwa Securities Group Inc.: Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. is a subsidiary of Daiwa Securities Group Inc.

Other Disclosures Concerning Individual Issues:

1) As of 26 April 2016, Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd., its parent company Daiwa Securities Group Inc., GMO Financial Holdings, Inc., and its subsidiary GMO CLICK Securities, Inc. concluded a basic agreement for the establishment of a business alliance between the four companies.

As of end-December 2017, Daiwa Securities Group Inc. owned shares in GMO Financial Holdings, Inc. equivalent to approximately 9.3% of the latter's outstanding shares. Given future developments in and benefits from the prospective business alliance, Daiwa Securities Group Inc. could boost its stake in GMO Financial Holdings, Inc. to up to 20% of outstanding shares.

2) Daiwa Real Estate Asset Management is a subsidiary of Daiwa Securities Group Inc. and serves as the asset management company for the following J-REITS: Daiwa Office Investment Corporation (8976), Nippon Healthcare Investment Corporation (3308), Japan Rental Housing Investments (8986).

3) Samty Residential Investment became a consolidated subsidiary of Daiwa Securities Group Inc. effective 10 September 2019.

4) On 30 May 2019, Daiwa Securities Group Inc. formalized an equity/business alliance with Samty, and as of 14 June 2019 it owned 16.95% of shares outstanding in Samty along with convertible bonds with a par value of Y10bn. Conversion of all of said convertible bonds into common shares would bring the stake of Daiwa Securities Group Inc. in Samty to 27.28%.

5) Daiwa Securities Group and Credit Saison Co., Ltd. entered into a capital and business alliance, effective 5 September 2019. In line with this alliance, Daiwa Securities Group is to acquire up to 5.01% of Credit Saison's total common shares outstanding (as of 31 Jul 2019), while Credit Saison is to purchase up to Y2bn worth of Daiwa Securities Group's common stock.

6) NEC (6701): NOTICE REGARDING U.S. PERSONS: This report is not intended for distribution to or use by any person in the United States. Securities issued by NEC Corporation have been suspended from registration in the U.S. and are subject to an order of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission dated June 17, 2008, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. This document is not a recommendation or inducement of any purchase or sale of such securities by any person or entity located in the U.S. Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. disclaims any responsibility to any such person with respect to the content of this document. Any U.S. person receiving a copy of this report should disregard it.

Notification items pursuant to Article 37 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law (This Notification is only applicable to where report is distributed by Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.)

If you decide to enter into a business arrangement with our company based on the information described in this report, we ask you to pay close attention to the following

- In addition to the purchase price of a financial instrument, our company will collect a trading commission* for each transaction as agreed beforehand with you. Since commissions may be included in the purchase price or may not be charged for certain transactions, we recommend that you confirm the commission for each transaction. In some cases, our company also may charge a maximum of \(\frac{\pmax}{2}\) million per year as a standing proxy fee for our deposit of your securities, if you are a
- For derivative and margin transactions etc., our company may require collateral or margin requirements in accordance with an agreement made beforehand with you. Ordinarily in such cases, the amount of the transaction will be in excess of the required collateral or margin requirements**.

 There is a risk that you will incur losses on your transactions due to changes in the market price of financial instruments based on fluctuations in interest rates, exchange rates, stock prices, real estate prices, commodity prices, and others. In addition, depending on the content of the transaction, the loss could exceed the amount of the collateral or margin requirements.

There may be a difference between bid price etc. and ask price etc. of OTC derivatives handled by our company.
Before engaging in any trading, please thoroughly confirm accounting and tax treatments regarding your trading in financial instruments with such experts as certified public accountants.

** The amount of the trading commission cannot be stated here in advance because it will be determined between our company and you based on current market conditions and the content of each transaction etc.

** The ratio of margin requirements etc. to the amount of the transaction cannot be stated here in advance because it will be determined between our company and you based on current market conditions and the content of each transaction etc.

When making an actual transaction, please be sure to carefully read the materials presented to you prior to the execution of agreement, and to take responsibility for your own decisions regarding the signing of the agreement with our company.

Corporate Name: Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

Registered: Financial Instruments Business Operator, Chief of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Kin-sho) No.108

Memberships: Japan Securities Dealers Association, The Financial Futures Association of Japan, Japan Investment Advisers Association, Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association