

# General Electric Company (GE)

Global Credit Research GCRE013

FICC Research Dept.

## Credit Issues (3): Can GE eliminate its exposure to insurance operations?

- \$36bn of GAAP liabilities supported by \$34bn of investment securities; the increase in insurance reserves require \$11bn of annual statutory contributions over the next six years through 2024
- We believe it would be difficult for GE to eliminate its exposure to insurance operations given its size and complex structure; the most realistic scenario is for GE to keep its insurance business in run-off

Credit Analyst  
**Stefan Tudor, CFA**  
 (81) 3 5555-8754  
[stefan.tudor@daiwa.co.jp](mailto:stefan.tudor@daiwa.co.jp)



Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

This is our third report in the series on GE's credit issues. In our first report we looked into GE's borrowings structure and GE's policy regarding leverage. We could confirm that GE has no liquidity issues in the short term due to its cash holdings and its rich credit facilities. However there is a concentration of debt maturities in 2020 that requires refinancing and/or raising cash by selling assets. In our second report, we looked into the underfunded pension liability and its impact on GE's cash outflows. We expect further contributions to the pension plans in the future given the large underfunded liability and the high amount of unrecognized net actuarial losses. However retained cash from the dividends cut should offset most of these contributions. For more details please refer to our previous reports:

["General Electric Company- Credit Issue 1: Concentration of debt maturities in 2020" released on December 14th, 2018](#)

["General Electric Company \(GE\)- Credit Issues \(2\): Pensions" released on January 17th, 2019](#)

In this report we will focus on GE Capital's insurance operations and their impact on GE's credit profile. On a GAAP accounting basis, liabilities related to insurance operations amounted to \$38.1bn as December, 2017. These liabilities comprise \$20.1bn of long-term care (LTC) insurance contracts and \$18bn of structured annuities and other products (see the chart below).

### Liabilities and assets related to insurance operations (GAAP accounting)

(\$m)	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2017	September 30, 2018
<b>Long-term care insurance</b>	<b>10,758</b>	<b>20,112</b>	<b>19,935</b>
Future policy benefit reserves	7,629	16,522	16,119
Claim Reserves	3,129	3,590	3,816
Structured annuities and other products	15,328	18,024	15,640
<b>Total GAAP reserves</b>	<b>26,086</b>	<b>38,136</b>	<b>35,575</b>
<b>Investment securities</b>	<b>44,180</b>	<b>38,231</b>	<b>33,961</b>

Source: Company materials; compiled by Daiwa.

LTC insurance contracts include both future policy benefit reserves (active life reserves) and claim reserves. Future policy benefit reserves represent the present value of future policy benefits less the present value of future net premiums. Claim reserves represent GE's estimate of ultimate obligations for reported and incurred-but-not-reported claims and the related estimated claim settlement expenses.

Future policy benefit reserves were first established based on actuarial assumptions at the time the policies were issued or acquired plus a margin for adverse deviation. These assumptions include interest rates, health care experience (including type and cost of care), morbidity, mortality, the length of time a policy will remain in force. Assumptions are locked-in throughout the life of a contract unless a premium deficiency develops at which time GE has to change these assumptions to reflect the most recent assumptions.

On January 16 2018, GE reported the results of a review of premium deficiency assumptions. With the completion of that review and of the annual premium deficiency test, GE recorded \$9.5bn pretax charge (\$6.2bn after-tax charge) to GE's earnings in the fourth quarter of 2017 mostly due to the increased expected future claim cost assumptions. On GAAP accounting basis, future policy benefit reserves related to LTC insurance operations increased 117% y/y in FY17 to \$16.5bn and accounted for 82% of LTC insurance reserves.

Regarding the cash outflows related to insurance liabilities, it is important to understand that GAAP reserves and statutory reserves are different. It is statutory reserves (not GAAP reserves) that drive the capital funding requirements for insurance. In the first quarter of 2018, GE Capital made contributions of \$3.5bn to its insurance subsidiaries. In addition, GE Capital expects to contribute approximately \$11bn of capital through 2024 to its run-off insurance business subject to ongoing monitoring by the Kansas Insurance Department. The required contributions to the statutory reserve are expected to be made by GE Capital, which does not expect to make a common share dividend distribution to GE for the foreseeable future. GE is planning at least \$3bn of capital contributions to GE Capital in 2019. GE may need to support GE Capital further in the future as GE Capital business is shrinking.

The premium deficiency testing is performed at least annually, usually in the fourth quarter and future adverse changes in the assumptions could result in an increase to future policy benefit reserves. 5% increase in future claim costs, holding all other assumptions constant, would result in a \$1.5bn increase to future policy benefit reserves (GAAP accounting). 25bps decline in expected investment yield, holding all other assumptions constant, would result in a \$1bn increase in future policy benefit reserves.

As of September 30, 2018, GE had \$36bn of liabilities related to insurance operation which are supported by \$34bn of investment securities. GE announced they are looking for options to mitigate or eliminate the exposure to its long term care insurance operations. We think eliminating the exposure would be difficult and too costly given the size of the insurance operations and its complex structure. The most realistic scenario would be for GE to live with its insurance operations as a run-off business. We will monitor closely the results of the insurance evaluation performed in Q4 2018.

## Explanatory Document of Unregistered Credit Ratings

In order to ensure the fairness and transparency in the markets, Credit Rating Agencies became subject to the Credit Rating Agencies' registration system based on the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act. In accordance with this Act, in soliciting customers, Financial Instruments Business Operators, etc. shall not use the credit ratings provided by unregistered Credit Rating Agencies without informing customers of the fact that those Credit Rating Agencies are not registered, and shall also inform customers of the significance and limitations of credit ratings, etc.

### ■ The Significance of Registration

Registered Credit Rating Agencies are subject to the following regulations:

- 1) Duty of good faith.
- 2) Establishment of control systems (fairness of the rating process, and prevention of conflicts of interest, etc.).
- 3) Prohibition of the ratings in cases where Credit Rating Agencies have a close relationship with the issuers of the financial instruments to be rated, etc.
- 4) Duty to disclose information (preparation and publication of rating policies, etc. and public disclosure of explanatory documents).

In addition to the above, Registered Credit Rating Agencies are subject to the supervision of the Financial Services Agency ("FSA"), and as such may be ordered to produce reports, be subject to on-site inspection, and be ordered to improve business operations, whereas unregistered Credit Rating Agencies are free from such regulations and supervision.

### ■ Credit Rating Agencies

#### [Standard & Poor's]

##### The Name of the Credit Rating Agencies group, etc

The name of the Credit Rating Agencies group: S&P Global Ratings ("Standard & Poor's")

The name and registration number of the Registered Credit Rating Agency in the group: S&P Global Ratings Japan Inc. (FSA commissioner (Rating) No.5)

##### How to acquire information related to an outline of the rating policies and methods adopted by the person who determines Credit Ratings

The information is posted under "Unregistered Rating Information" (<http://www.standardandpoors.co.jp/unregistered>) in the "Library and Regulations" section on the website of S&P Global Ratings Japan Inc. (<http://www.standardandpoors.co.jp>)

##### Assumptions, Significance and Limitations of Credit Ratings

Credit ratings assigned by Standard & Poor's are statements of opinion on the future credit quality of specific issuers or issues as of the date they are expressed and they are not indexes which show the probability of the occurrence of the failure to pay by the issuer or a specific debt and do not guarantee creditworthiness. Credit ratings are not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold any securities, or a statement of market liquidity or prices in the secondary market of any issues.

Credit ratings may change depending on various factors, including issuers' performance, changes in external environment, performance of underlying assets, creditworthiness of counterparties and others. Standard & Poor's conducts rating analysis based on information it believes to be provided by the reliable source and assigns credit ratings only when it believes there is enough information in terms of quality and quantity to make a conclusion. However, Standard & Poor's does not perform an audit, due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives from the issuer or a third party, or guarantee its accuracy, completeness or timeliness of the results by using the information. Moreover, it needs to be noted that it may incur a potential risk due to the limitation of the historical data that are available for use depending on the rating.

This information is based on information Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. has received from sources it believes to be reliable as of March 7th, 2017, but it does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of this information. For details, please refer to the website of S&P Global Ratings Japan Inc. (<http://www.standardandpoors.co.jp>)

#### [Moody's]

##### The Name of the Credit Rating Agencies Group, etc

The name of the Credit Rating Agencies group: Moody's Investors Service ("MIS")

The name and registration number of the Registered Credit Rating Agency in the group: Moody's Japan K.K. (FSA commissioner (Rating) No.2)

##### How to acquire information related to an outline of the rating policies and methods adopted by the person who determines Credit Ratings

The information is posted under "Unregistered Rating explanation" in the section on "The use of Ratings of Unregistered Agencies" on the website of Moody's Japan K.K. (The website can be viewed after clicking on "Credit Rating Business" on the Japanese version of Moody's website ([https://www.moody.com/pages/default\\_ja.aspx](https://www.moody.com/pages/default_ja.aspx)))

##### Assumptions, Significance and Limitations of Credit Ratings

Credit ratings are Moody's Investors Service's ("MIS") current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. MIS defines credit risk as the risk that an entity may not meet its contractual, financial obligations as they come due and any estimated financial loss in the event of default. Credit ratings do not address any other risk, including but not limited to: liquidity risk, market value risk, or price volatility. Credit ratings do not constitute investment or financial advice, and credit ratings are not recommendations to purchase, sell, or hold particular securities. No warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose of any such rating or other opinion or information, is given or made by MIS in any form or manner whatsoever.

Based on the information received from issuers or from public sources, the credit risks of the issuers or obligations are assessed. MIS adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MIS considers to be reliable. However, MIS is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

This information is based on information Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. has received from sources it believes to be reliable as of April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, but it does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of this information. For details, please refer to the website of Moody's Japan K.K. ([https://www.moody.com/pages/default\\_ja.aspx](https://www.moody.com/pages/default_ja.aspx))

#### [Fitch]

##### The Name of the Credit Rating Agencies group, etc

The name of the Credit Rating Agencies group: Fitch Ratings ("Fitch")

The name and registration number of the Registered Credit Rating Agency in the group: Fitch Ratings Japan Limited (FSA commissioner (Rating) No.7)

##### How to acquire information related to an outline of the rating policies and methods adopted by the person who determines Credit Ratings

The information is posted under "Outline of Rating Policies" in the section of "Regulatory Affairs" on the website of Fitch Ratings Japan Limited (<https://www.fitchratings.co.jp/web/>)

##### Assumptions, Significance and Limitations of Credit Ratings

Ratings assigned by Fitch are opinions based on established criteria and methodologies. Ratings are not facts, and therefore cannot be described as being "accurate" or "inaccurate". Credit ratings do not directly address any risk other than credit risk. Credit ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price or market liquidity for rated instruments. Ratings are relative measures of risk; as a result, the assignment of ratings in the same category to entities and obligations may not fully reflect small differences in the degrees of risk. Credit ratings, as opinions on relative ranking of vulnerability to default, do not imply or convey a specific statistical probability of default.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings, Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The assignment of a rating to any issuer or any security should not be viewed as a guarantee of the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the information relied on in connection with the rating or the results obtained from the use of such information. If any such information should turn out to contain misrepresentations or to be otherwise misleading, the rating associated with that information may not be appropriate. Despite any verification of current facts, ratings can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating was issued or affirmed.

For the details of assumption, purpose and restriction of credit ratings, please refer to "Definitions of ratings and other forms of opinion" on the website of Fitch Rating Japan Limited.

This information is based on information Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. has received from sources it believes to be reliable as of May 13<sup>th</sup>, 2016, but it does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of this information. For details, please refer to the website of Fitch Rating Japan Limited (<https://www.fitchratings.co.jp/web/>)

**IMPORTANT**

This report is provided as a reference for making investment decisions and is not intended to be a solicitation for investment. Investment decisions should be made at your own discretion and risk. Content herein is based on information available at the time the report was prepared and may be amended or otherwise changed in the future without notice. We make no representations as to the accuracy or completeness. Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd. retains all rights related to the content of this report, which may not be redistributed or otherwise transmitted without prior consent.

**Notification items pursuant to Article 37 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law**

If you decide to enter into a business arrangement with our company based on the information described in this report, we ask you to pay close attention to the following items.

- In addition to the purchase price of a financial instrument, our company will collect a trading commission\* for each transaction as agreed beforehand with you. Since commissions may be included in the purchase price or may not be charged for certain transactions, we recommend that you confirm the commission for each transaction. In some cases, our company also may charge a maximum of ¥ 2 million (including tax) per year as a standing proxy fee for our deposit of your securities, if you are a non-resident.
- For derivative and margin transactions etc., our company may require collateral or margin requirements in accordance with an agreement made beforehand with you. Ordinarily in such cases, the amount of the transaction will be in excess of the required collateral or margin requirements\*\*.
- There is a risk that you will incur losses on your transactions due to changes in the market price of financial instruments based on fluctuations in interest rates, exchange rates, stock prices, real estate prices, commodity prices, and others. In addition, depending on the content of the transaction, the loss could exceed the amount of the collateral or margin requirements.
- There may be a difference between bid price etc. and ask price etc. of OTC derivatives handled by our company.
- Before engaging in any trading, please thoroughly confirm accounting and tax treatments regarding your trading in financial instruments with such experts as certified public accountants.

\* The amount of the trading commission cannot be stated here in advance because it will be determined between our company and you based on current market conditions and the content of each transaction etc.

\*\* The ratio of margin requirements etc. to the amount of the transaction cannot be stated here in advance because it will be determined between our company and you based on current market conditions and the content of each transaction etc.

When making an actual transaction, please be sure to carefully read the materials presented to you prior to the execution of agreement, and to take responsibility for your own decisions regarding the signing of the agreement with our company.

Corporate Name: Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.

Registered: Financial Instruments Business Operator  
Chief of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Kin-sho) No.108

Memberships: Japan Securities Dealers Association  
The Financial Futures Association of Japan  
Japan Investment Advisers Association  
Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association